

RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)  
rluskey@paulweiss.com  
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
535 Mission Street, 25th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (628) 432-5100  
Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
ratkins@paulweiss.com  
JACQUELINE P. RUBIN (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
jrubin@paulweiss.com  
CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com  
ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
akeller@paulweiss.com

**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP**  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 373-3000  
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

*[Additional Counsel Listed on Following Page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH  
REGARDING SERVICE OF ORDER  
GRANTING MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW  
AS COUNSEL**

This Document Relates to:

*J.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
Case No. 3:24-cv-03441-CRB

*C.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
Case No. 3:24-cv-04363-CRB

*L.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
Case No. 3:24-cv-05306-CRB

*R.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
Case No. 3:24-cv-05393-CRB

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Beyer  
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

1 *J.K. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
2 Case No. 3:24-cv-05463-CRB

3 *M.P. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
4 Case No. 3:24-cv-05688-CRB

5 *A.O. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
6 Case No. 3:24-cv-05717-CRB

7 *T.F. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
8 Case No. 3:24-cv-05768-CRB

9 *C.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
10 Case No. 3:24-cv-05962-CRB

11 KYLE N. SMITH (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
12 ksmith@paulweiss.com  
13 JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)  
14 jphillips@paulweiss.com  
15 **PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON**  
16 **& GARRISON LLP**  
17 2001 K Street, NW  
18 Washington DC, 20006  
19 Telephone: (202) 223-7300  
20 Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

21 *Attorneys for Defendants*  
22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
23 RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DECLARATION OF KYLE SMITH**

I, Kyle Smith, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arlington, Virginia. I respectfully submit this declaration explaining how Uber served a copy of the Court's February 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw, ECF 2221, on Plaintiffs J.H., C.H., L.D., R.D., J.K., M.P., A.O., T.F. and C.C. ("Plaintiffs").

2. I am a partner at the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, representing Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC ("Uber") in this MDL as well as in Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5188, *In Re: Uber Rideshare* Cases, Case No. CJC-21-005188. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals and the Bar of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Third Department. I make this declaration based upon matters within my own personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters set forth herein.

3. The Plaintiffs all previously provided Uber with discovery, including Ride Receipts or Ride Information Forms pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 5, and Plaintiff Fact Sheets pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 10. These discovery materials included both a physical mailing address and email address associated with Plaintiffs J.H., C.H., L.D., R.D., M.P., and C.C., respectively. For Plaintiffs J.K., A.O. and T.F., these discovery materials included a physical mailing address, but not an email address, associated with each Plaintiff.

4. On February 5, 2025, counsel for Uber emailed former counsel for each of the Plaintiffs identifying the physical mailing addresses and email addresses that Uber received during discovery associated with the relevant Plaintiff or Plaintiffs. Counsel for Uber requested that Plaintiffs' former counsel inform Uber of any different physical mailing or email addresses for the Plaintiffs to which counsel for Uber should send the Court's Order.

5. On February 6, 2025, Peiffer Wolf Carr Kane Conway & Wise, LLP ("Peiffer Wolf"), former counsel to Plaintiffs J.H. and L.D., provided counsel for Uber with a different physical mailing address and email address by which Plaintiff L.D. could be contacted. Peiffer

1 Wolf confirmed that Peiffer Wolf was not aware of any different any different physical mailing  
2 addresses or email addresses by which Plaintiff J.H. could be contacted.

3 6. On February 7, 2025, Nachawati Law Firm (“Nachawati”), former counsel to  
4 Plaintiff C.H., confirmed that Nachawati was not aware of any different any different physical  
5 mailing addresses or email addresses by which Plaintiff C.H. could be contacted.

6 7. On February 7, 2025, Slater Slater Schulman LLP (“Slater”), former counsel to  
7 Plaintiffs R.D., J.K., M.P., A.O. and T.F., provided counsel for Uber with email addresses by  
8 which Plaintiffs J.K., M.P., A.O. and T.F. could be contacted. Slater confirmed that aside from  
9 these additional email addresses, Slater was not aware of any different physical mailing addresses  
10 or email addresses by which Plaintiff R.D., J.K., M.P., A.O. or T.F. could be contacted.

11 8. On February 7, 2025, Pulaski Kherkher, PLLC (“Pulaski”), former counsel to  
12 Plaintiff C.C., provided Uber with a different email address by which Plaintiff C.C. could be  
13 contacted. Pulaski confirmed that Pulaski was not aware of any different physical mailing  
14 addresses by which Plaintiff C.C. could be reached.

15 9. On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff J.H. with the letter attached  
16 to this declaration as **Exhibit A**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court’s February 3, 2025  
17 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

18 10. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff J.H. with this letter via three different methods:  
19 (1) producing the letter to J.H.’s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email address  
20 identified in J.H.’s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail  
21 to the physical mailing address identified in J.H.’s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

22 11. On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.H. with the letter attached  
23 to this declaration as **Exhibit B**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court’s February 3, 2025  
24 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

25 12. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.H. with this letter via three different methods:  
26 (1) producing the letter to C.H.’s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email  
27 address identified in C.H.’s Plaintiff Fact Sheet, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via  
28 certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in C.H.’s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

1           13.     On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff L.D. with the letter attached  
2 to this declaration as **Exhibit C**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's February 3, 2025  
3 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

4           14.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff L.D. with this letter via three different methods:  
5 (1) producing the letter to L.D.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email  
6 address identified by Peiffer Wolf, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to  
7 the physical mailing address identified by Peiffer Wolf.

8           15.     On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff R.D. with the letter attached  
9 to this declaration as **Exhibit D**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's February 3, 2025  
10 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

11           16.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff R.D. with this letter via three different methods:  
12 (1) producing the letter to R.D.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email  
13 address identified in R.D.'s Ride Receipt, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified  
14 mail to the physical mailing address identified in R.D.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

15           17.     On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff J.K. with the letter attached  
16 to this declaration as **Exhibit E**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's February 3, 2025  
17 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

18           18.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff J.K. with this letter via three different methods:  
19 (1) producing the letter to J.K.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email address  
20 identified by Slater, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical  
21 mailing address identified in J.K.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

22           19.     On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff M.P. with the letter attached  
23 to this declaration as **Exhibit F**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's February 3, 2025  
24 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

25           20.     Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff M.P. with this letter via three different methods:  
26 (1) producing the letter to M.P.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email  
27 address identified by Slater, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the  
28 physical mailing address identified in M.P.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

21. On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff A.O. with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit G**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court's February 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

22. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff A.O. with this letter via three different methods: (1) producing the letter to A.O.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email address identified by Slater, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in A.O.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

23. On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff T.F. with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit H**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court’s February 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

24. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff T.F. with this letter via three different methods: (1) producing the letter to T.F.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email address identified by Slater, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in T.F.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

25. On February 7, 2025, counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.C. with the letter attached to this declaration as **Exhibit I**. Exhibit 2 of that letter contains the Court’s February 3, 2025 Order Granting Motions to Withdraw.

26. Counsel for Uber served Plaintiff C.C. with this letter via three different methods: (1) producing the letter to C.C.'s MDL Centrality profile, (2) emailing the letter to the email address identified by Pulaski, and (3) depositing the letter for delivery via certified mail to the physical mailing address identified in C.C.'s Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 10, 2025, in New York, NY.

/s/ Kyle Smith  
Kyle Smith